

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel Wednesday - 2 June 1971 Page 3

- Assistant to Senator James Eastland (D., Miss.), and left with him a copy of the Director's letter of 21 May to Senator Sam Ervin (D., N. Car.) restating our position with regard to Senator Ervin's bill, S. 1438. I told Pace we merely wished to keep Senator Eastland up-to-date on our position on this legislation. Mr. Pace said he was completely sympathetic with our request for a complete exemption from this bill and added that he thought S. 1438 was a bad bill even in its general application. He said he never really understood what prompted Senator Ervin to get on the tack he has taken on Government employees' invasion of privacy. He said if he could do any "snooping" on this or help in any way, to let him know. He said he would bring the Senator up-to-date on the situation.
- staff of the Senate Appropriations Committee, and left with him a copy of our answers to the three questions posed by Blandford and Slatinshek having to do with questions Chairman Hebert might expect to get on the floor of the House when the Defense procurement authorization bill is taken up there 25x1 Woodruff made the statement regardless of what was said in the Senate regarding the intent of the sponsors of the Fulbright amendment, he felt the Agency was clearly in violation of it

  the added that he felt that the Agency has been "used" by the Executive Branch in areas in which the Agency was never intended to become involved. He said he thought it was asking too much to expect several key men to bear the responsibility for these programs. He said he did not know what could be done about it, however.

We also reviewed with Woodruff the status of the Soviet ICBM silo construction. Woodruff said he was very concerned about the amount of information on this subject and other subjects of a sensitive nature which have been put in the public domain.

SECRE